

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/089,493

Applicant(s)

HOFMANN, MARTIN JOHN

Examiner

Ernest G. Therkorn

Art Unit

1723

All Participants:

(1) Ernest G. Therkorn.

(2) Joseph J. Corso.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 19 November 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner required a restriction between claims 33-42 and 63-66 and claims 43-62. Upon the election of claims 33-42 and 63-66, the examiner proposed cancelling the non-elected invention to place the case in condition for allowance. Joseph J. Corso then authorized the cancellation by examiner's amendment. .